

Risk Assessment & Control Coordination for AI services : Case11 Guidance in Plant Operation

* This case is detailed in Use Case 1 of the AI Business Promotion Consortium's Ethical Working Group Deliverables. It was assessed, with help from the Chiyoda Corporation, as a typical case.(Note: The Chiyoda Corporation did not assess this case directly.) Reference(Japanese only): <u>https://aibpc.org/?p=1523</u>

Institute for Future Initiatives, The University of Tokyo Technology Governance Research Unit AI Governance Project

How to operate the RCModel - Risk Assessment & Control Coordination -





Guide book and Case Studies of Risk Chain Model

AI Service and Risk Coordination Study Group

https://ifi.u-tokyo.ac.jp/en/projects/ai-service-and-risk-coordination/



Research Education People News Events Publications

How to use Risk Chain Model

Risk Chain Model (RCModel) Guide Ver1.0 최고

Case Study

*These are fictional case studies below and don't raise issues or assure for any company or AI service.

Case01.Recruitment AI (2021/07) 译了



Case Study



Case11 : Guidance in Plant Operation

- Define the "values and objectives to be achieved" by AI services -

Provide AI Services to Oil Company A by providing guidelines for changing raw materials (an unsteady-state operation) to the operational management division.

The AI dev dept of Company B, the AI Services Provider, quantified numerous standards for ideal operation by conducting interviews with veteran plant operators. It then adjusted these standards based on past operational data and developed an evaluation function. The AI then performed theoretical operation of a dynamic simulation of the facility based on the data collected. Through trial and error, it underwent deep reinforcement learning to perform ideal operation. After being trained to consistently produce good results, the AI provided assistance to operators by monitoring live data during actual operations and outputting ideal parameters.

An AI model is now being developed with the assistance of Company C (a contractor)'s AI dev dept.

Note: While many aspects of the plant in question are automated and run by sophisticated programs, processes such as this one have a high degree of technical difficulty and must be performed by hand. Due to a decline in the number of veteran operators, there is a demand for the aid of new technology.

[Values & Objectives]

- Reduction of nonproductive time (increased profit) *Provision of AI model's prediction of effects after complex manual operation and multiple ideal operation parameters
- Operator training *Enable self-evaluation of new operators by quantifying complex operational procedures based on assessment by veteran operators, helping them transfer their skills.
- Safely Change Raw Materials
- Social Responsibility

[Flow of Actual Operations using AI Services]

Evaluation Function: Use of evaluation function to equal or exceed veterans' score (a combined expression of multiple criteria).

*Combines several factors, such as shortness of operating time and loss control during changeover, searching for the best overall operations from several hours to half a day ago.

*Display AI output as recommended value when it exceeds veteran operators performance based on evaluation function.

Case11 : Guidance in Plant Operation

- System Overview -



Case11 : AI Guidance in Plant Operation

- Input & Output -

[Input Data]

Data	Purpose	Collection Method	Data Manager	Including Privacy Data
Past Data	Learning	Operational Data from Records	Company A Operational Supervisor (Central Control Room Server)	No
Dynamic Simulation	Learning	AI operates in dynamic simulation, improves through trial and error.	Return data generated during training to Company B.	No
Latest Data from Facility	Producti on	Automatic Collection by Existing System	Company A Operational Supervisor (Central Control Room Server)	No

[Output]

Users	Company A Operators
Output	Various Parameters (setpoint values of manual controllers)
Output Method	The next ideal value is displayed on terminal in the central control room of Company A's plant.
Expected Accuracy	Output when total point value of evaluation function based on deep reinforcement learning exceeds veteran operators'.
User judgment	Yes
Output of evidence information	No
Safety Risk	No
Connection with external system	No
Protocol	No



Risk Assessment



Risk Assessment

- Examination of significant risk scenarios -

Values & Objectives		Service Requirement			Risk No.	Risk Scenario									
1				 AI Prediction 	R001	Performance Reduction Due to Environmental Change	Performance declines when raw material composition differs from parameters initially provided and trained on.								
	Reduction of nonproducti	1-1	Maintenance of predictive performance	AI Robustness	R002	Noise Introduction	Introduction of noise from actual environment destabilizes decision accuracy.								
	ve time (increased profit)			AI Explainability	R003	Change in Data Quality	Quality of data obtained from inspection/cleaning (such as catalytic alteration) varies widely, destabilizing predictive power.								
		1-2	Adequate cost –		R004	Excess costs	Operation costs are exceeded								
2	Operator Training	2-1	Validity of Training Material	AI Explainability R0	R005	Unintended Application	Application of this AI model to unspecified raw materials or services will interfere with operations due to errors in judgment.								
					R006	Technological Misinterpretation	A false interpretation of the AI's decision-making tendencies spread, causing operators to make mistakes.								
		2-2	Troubleshooting		R007	Inability to do troubleshooting	Due to lack of emergency training, there is no way to address potential problems.								
		3-1	Ensuring safety	Safeguards	R008	Managing Anomalies	Inability to return to normal parameters in the event of abnormal output, interfering with operation of plant.								
3	Safely Change Raw Materials	2 2	Protection from	RobustnessSecurity	R009	Attacks on Learning Data/Models	Predictive accuracy cannot be maintained due to abnormal input of learning data or model changes caused by external attacks.								
		3-2	External Attack		R010	Attacks on Real-World System	Predictive accuracy cannot be maintained if sensors or controllers are damaged due to external attacks.								
	Social		-1 Accountability	Process	R011	Response to quality audits	Inability to provide appropriate explanations when subjected to quality audits								
4	Responsibilit y	4-1		Accountability	Accountability	Accountability	-1 Accountability	4-1 Accountability	4-1 Accountability	-1 Accountability	1 Accountability	Accountability des Ve	description Verifiability	R012	Investigation of problems

Risk Assessment & Control Summary - Organize the roles of each stakeholder based on the examination of each risk chain -

	Values &	Risk	Disk Cooperie	Uncerta	Environ	Caused	DC		Control Summary	
	Objectives	No.	RISK SCENARIO	inly	change	by user	RC	AI System	AI Service Provider	User
		R001	Performance Reduction Due to Environmental Change	0			•	Examine data distribution Develop New Model Record Learning Performance	A/B Testing Understanding AI Model Change Re-learning	Understanding AI Model Change
1	Reduction of nonproducti ve time (increased	R002	Noise Introduction	0			•	Sensor Maintenance Data noise correction Model robustness	Examination of noise impact Understanding AI Model Change Re-learning	Understanding AI Model Change
	profit)	R003	Change in Data Quality	0	0		•	*Same as R002	*Same as R002	*Same as R002
		R004	Excess costs						Establish Appropriate Costs	Establish ROI
2 Ope Tra		R005	Unintended Application			0			Explain Intended Use-Case	Understanding of AI use- case
	Operator Training	R006	Technological Misinterpretation	0		0	•	Judgment basis output	Make output of judgment basis/reference data easy to understand.	Final decision-making Acquisition of business expertise Identifying errors in judgment
		R007	Inability to do troubleshooting			0		Save system log	Perform systematic maintenance to address damage	Acquisition of business expertise Switch to manual operation
	Safely Change Baw	R008	Managing Anomalies	0			•	Ensure a sufficient accuracy rate Compensate for abnormal parameters Record Autocorrections	Examine rules for addressing anomalies Display autocorrection Verify model	Final decision-making
J	Materials	R009	Attacks on Learning Data/Models		0			Secure underlying system	Logic Access Control	
		R010	Attacks on Real- World System		0			Monitor IoT status, etc.	Physical Access Control	

Risk Assessment & Control Summary - Organize the roles of each stakeholder based on the examination of each risk chain -

Values &		Risk	Diele Ceenerie	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Uncerta	Environ	Caused	DC		Control Summary	
	Objectives	No.	RISK SCENARIO	inly	change	by user	RC	AI System	AI Service Provider	User																	
	Social	R011	Response to quality audits	0			•	Record data understanding Record model performance Record control log	Perform internal audits Respond to external audits Access Control																		
4	y y	R012	Investigation of problems	0				Preserve log data	System operation monitoring Fault handling	Switch to manual operation																	



Step 5

Organization

- Organize the roles of each stakeholder based on the examination of each risk chain -







- Examine the risk chain (relation of risk factors) for each important risk scenario -

R001

Performance Reduction Due to Environmental Change

Performance declines when raw material composition differs from parameters initially provided and trained on.



- Consider risk control according to the risk chain -

R001

Performance Reduction Due to Environmental Change

Performance declines when raw material composition differs from parameters initially provided and trained on.

	Risk Control	
AI System (AI Dev. Team, Co. B + AI Dev. Dept., Co. C)	Service Provider (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	Users (Plant Operators, Co. A)
 [Data Balance] Examining data shift (AI Dev Dept., Co. B) [Accuracy/Generalization] Retrain/Redevelop model based on new hypothesis (AI Dev. Team, Co. B/AI Dev Dept., Co. C) [Traceability] Record performance while learning with new model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	 ④ [Auditability] Perform A/B testing to verify performance of new & old models (AI Dev Team, Co. B) ⑤ [Consensus] Reach consensus on changing AI model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) ⑥ [Sustainability] Change AI model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) ⑥ [Sustainability] Change AI model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	⑤ [User Responsibility] Reach consensus on changing AI model (Plant Operators, Co. A)

- Examine the risk chain (relation of risk factors) for each important risk scenario -

R002

Impact of Noise

Introduction of noise destabilizes decision accuracy.



- Consider risk control according to the risk chain -

R	0	0	2
	U	U	4

Impact of noise

Introduction of noise destabilizes decision accuracy.

	Risk Control	
AI System (AI Dev. Team, Co. B + AI Dev. Dept., Co. C)	Service Provider (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	Users (Plant Operators, Co. A)
 [Stability] Perform regular maintenance on sensors (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) [Data Quality] Address degradation of data by 	 ⑤ [Auditability] Perform A/B testing to confirm performance of new & old models (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	⑥ [User Responsibility] Reach consensus on changing AI model (Plant Operators, Co. A)
noise correction (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	⑥ [Consensus] Reach consensus on changing AI model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	
 [3] [Robustness] Use learning to enhance model robustness (AI Dev Team, Co. B/AI Dev Dept., Co. C) 	 ⑦ [Sustainability] Change AI model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	
④ [Traceability] Store information on AI judgment results when in use (AI Dev Team, Co. B)		

- Examine the risk chain (relation of risk factors) for each important risk scenario -

R006

Technological Misinterpretation

If the basis for AI decision-making is not understood, operators will become reliant on the AI and be unable to correct errors.



- Consider risk control according to the risk chain -

R006

Technological Misinterpretation

If the basis for AI decision-making is not understood, operators will become reliant on the AI and be unable to correct errors.

	Risk Control	
AI System (AI Dev. Team, Co. B + AI Dev. Dept., Co. C)	Service Provider (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	Users (Plant Operators, Co. A)
 Interpretability] Output the judgment basis of the model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B/AI Dev. Dept., Co. C) 	② [Understandability] Output judgment basis, past records, etc. in easily understood form (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	 ③ [User Responsibility] It must be understood that operators have final authority (Plant Operators, Co. A)
		 ④ [Expectation] Users must understand the accuracy of model judgments (Plant Operators, Co. A)
		 (5) [User Ability] Provide training in knowledge and skills necessary for operation (Plant Operators, Co. A)
		⑥ [Awareness] Find places where AI seems to have made mistakes (Plant Operators, Co. A)
		⑦ [Proper Use] Accurately judge parameters for changing materials (Plant Operators, Co. A)

- Examine the risk chain (relation of risk factors) for each important risk scenario -

Step 3



- Consider risk control according to the risk chain -

Managing Anomalies

Inability to return to normal parameters in the event of abnormal output, interfering with operation of plant.

	Risk Control	
AI System (AI Dev. Team, Co. B + AI Dev. Dept., Co. C)	Service Provider (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	Users (Plant Operators, Co. A)
 ② [Accuracy] Implement learning to ensure model prediction accuracy (AI Dev. Team, Co. B/AI Dev. Dept., Co. C) 	 [Consensus] Determine appropriate parameter values and create rules for correcting anomalies. (Plant Operators, Co. A + AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	 [User Responsibility] It must be understood that operators have final authority (Plant Operators, Co. A)
③ [Stability] Automatically correct anomalous parameters when detected (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	⑤ [Understandability] Indicate correction of anomalous parameters (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	⑦ [Proper Use] Accurately judge parameters for changing materials (Plant Operators, Co. A)
④ [Traceability] Record anomalous parameter correction (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	 [Auditability] Test model performance and determine need for re-learning (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	
	⑨ [Sustainability] Change AI model (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	

- Examine the risk chain (relation of risk factors) for each important risk scenario -

R011

Response to Quality Audits

Inability to provide appropriate explanations when subjected to quality audits



- Consider risk control according to the risk chain -

Response to Quality Audits

Inability to provide appropriate explanations when subjected to quality audits

	Risk Control	
AI System (AI Dev. Team, Co. B + AI Dev. Dept., Co. C)	Service Provider (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	Users (Plant Operators, Co. A)
 ② [Data Quality/Data Balance] Record data distribution and understanding (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	 [Consensus] Determine appropriate parameter values and create rules for correcting anomalies. (Plant Operators, Co. A + AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	
③ [Accuracy/Robustness/Generalization/Interpretabi lity] Record model performance (AI Dev. Team,	⑤ [Auditability] Conduct internal audits and respond in advance (Internal Audit Dept., Co. A)	
 Co. B) ④ [Traceability] Store information on AI judgment results when in use (AI Dev. Team. Co. B) 	⑥ [Transparency] Prepare information to be provided to external auditors (Plant Operational Management, Co. A + AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	
	 [Accessibility] Establish necessary access rights for external auditors (AI Dev. Team, Co. B) 	
	⑧ [Accountability] Disseminate appropriate response to external audits (Plant Operational Management, Co. A + AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	
	⑨ [Sustainability] Address issues discovered during audits (AI Dev. Team, Co. B)	